Final Test (April 24)

- 1. (25%) Given a system with three processes: P₁ (with events a₁, a₂, and a₃ in order), P₂ (b₁ and b₂), and P₃ (c₁, c₂, and c₃), there are four inter-process communication events: (a₁, b₁), (b₂, c₁), (a₂, c₃), and (c₃, a₃).
 - a. Draw a time-space diagram for the system.
 - b. Show all the pairs of events that are related.
 - c. Provide logical time for all the events using (1) linear time, (2) vector time, and (3) matrix time. Assume that each logical clock in initialized zero, and d for P₁, P₂, and P₃ is 1, 2, and 3, respectively.
- 2. (25%) Given a 6-node directed graph with the directed edge set {(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 5), (3, 5), (3, 6), (4, 6)}. Express this DAG using (1) fork/join, (2) parbegin/parend together with semaphore, (3) parbegin/parend only, after **adding** a minimum number of dependence links to the original graph, and (4) parbegin/parend only, after **deleting** a minimum number of dependence links from the original graph.
- **3.** Show the **resource allocation time** for each of the three processes P₁, P₂, P₃ competing on three resources A, B, C in the table below:

Process ID	Priority	1 st request time	Length	Retry interval	Resource & locking order
P ₁	3	1	4	1	A,B
P ₂	4	1	3	1	С
P ₃	1	2	1	1	A,C
P ₄	2	2	2	2	C,A

- a. When the **wait-die** scheme is used.
- b. When the **wound-wait** scheme is used, assuming each locking process takes a short, but none-zero, time to execute.

Explain the **scheduling policy** for each scheme in the following scenario: When a process releases a resource R at time T, there is another process P_i in the waiting queue of R and a new process P_j comes for R exactly at time T. Show how this policy works when P_1 's length is reduced to 3 in the above example.

- 4. (25%) Using Glass and Ni's **Turn model**, we can divide the routing space of a 2-D mesh into four regions (relative to the location of the source): NE, SE, NW, SW. For a particular Turn model (such as West-last), it is fully adaptive in some region(s) and non-adaptive in the regions region(s). Two Turn models A and B are said to be **complement** to each other, if in any region, either A or B (or both) is fully adaptive.
 - a. Determine a complement of the West-last (and Negative-first) Turn model.
 - b. Demonstrate the correctness of your results by showing routing adaptivity of these two Turn models in each region.
 - c. Use two **virtual networks** to implement A and B. Show how routing should be constructed to avoid deadlock, reach balanced adaptivity in each region, and achieve efficiency in channel usage.
- 5. (25%) Refer to the 4th question in Homework 4, consider a 3-cube with one faulty node 111 and one faculty link (000, 001).
 - a. How would you propose to handle the faculty link? (**Hint**: treat both end nodes of a faculty link faulty.)

- b. Show safe and unsafe status using (1) Lee/Hayes' safety node: a nonfaulty node is unsafe if and only there are at least two unsafe or faulty neighbors, (2) Wu/Fernandez' safety node, (3) Wu's safety level, and (4) Wu's safety vector. Show each case by drawing a different 3-cube.
- c. Give a **simple prove** that safety vector is no worse than safety level, which in turn is no worse than Wu/Fernandez' and Lee/Hayes' safety node models, in terms of accurately depicting optimal routing capability of each node in a cube.
- 6. (Bonus: 10 points) The way to treat a faulty link as faulty nodes (i.e., two end nodes of the faulty link) is still too conservative. For example, node 101's safety level is 1 in Question 5. In reality, however, node 101 can reach every node within 2 hops through a Hamming distance path. Find a more efficient vector coding method to better represent faulty links. (Hint: Similar to safety vector, but use exact coding for routing capability of nodes within 2-hop and apply safety vector coding for nodes beyond 2-hop.)