Towards Problem of First Miss under Mobile Edge Caching

Yanpeng Luo, Chao Song, Haipeng Dai, Zhaofu Chen
Nianbo Liu, Ming Liu and Jie Wu

University of Electronic Science and Technology of China
Nanjing University
Huawei
Temple University
OutLine

1. Background: Mobile Edge Caching (MEC)
2. Research Problem & Prior work
3. Motivation
4. Problem of First Miss Requests
5. Strategy
6. Experimental Results
7. Conclusion
Background: Mobile Edge Caching (MEC)
Background: Mobile Edge Caching (MEC)

- cache-enabled mobile edge server.
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- cache-enabled mobile edge server.
- avoids network congestion and reduces the delay.
The capacity of the cache is limited, and a good content placement strategy can effectively improve the cache hit rate.

The previous works are mainly divided into two categories:

1. One focus on analyzing and optimizing caching policy with known content popularity.
2. The other one focus on predicting the popularity of content.
Prior Work

**Reactive Caching**: If the content is pushed after the request arrives, called reactive caching. [5,8-12]

If new content arrives, whether to cache the content, and if the cache is full, which content will be replaced.

**Proactive Caching**: If the content is pushed before the request arrives, called proactive caching.[4,13,14]

If we can know the popularity of content, which content should be pushed to which cache in advance.
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**Reactive Caching**: If the content is pushed after the request arrives, called reactive caching. [5,8-12]
If new content arrives, whether to cache the content, and if the cache is full, which content will be replaced.

**Proactive Caching**: If the content is pushed before the request arrives, called proactive caching.[4,13,14]
If we can know the popularity of content, which content should be pushed to which cache in advance.

Try to put popular content in the cache.!
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Table 1: Info of dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TimeStamp</td>
<td>1189828805.20886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youtube server IP</td>
<td>63.22.65.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client IP</td>
<td>140.8.48.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video ID</td>
<td>IML9dk8QNW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content server IP</td>
<td>158.102.125.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 Topology of dataset.
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Table 1. Info of dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TimeStamp</td>
<td>1189828805.20886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youtube server IP</td>
<td>63.22.65.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client IP</td>
<td>140.8.48.66</td>
</tr>
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<td>Video ID</td>
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<td>Content server IP</td>
<td>158.102.125.12</td>
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</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 Topology of dataset.

global popular contents are not necessarily popular in local caches

the requests received on local caches are more arbitrary
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When the number of caches increases, the popularity of the content becomes less effective!
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**Simulation verification**

- **Fig.3(a) Popularity distribution**
- **Fig.3(b) Proportion of first miss requests.**

First miss request:
If a request first appears in a cache, and there is no corresponding content in the cache.
Problem of First Miss Requests

Fig. 4(a) First miss in Central cache.
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Fig. 4(a) First miss in Central cache.

Total Requests: 5
First miss requests: 2
Hit Requests: 3
Hit rate: 60%

Fig. 4(b) First miss in Edge cache.

Total Requests: 5
First miss requests: 5
Hit Requests: 0
Hit rate: 0
Problem of First Miss Requests

First miss requests in the edge caches will account for a large proportion of the requests!
Problem of First Miss Requests

First miss requests in the edge caches will account for a large proportion of the requests!

First miss requests accounting for about 66.9% of the total in the datasets[15].
Challenge
Challenge

Requests in the edge cache are too arbitrary!

i.e. All methods that rely on content popularity for caching decisions are ineffective.
Strategy: Naive Broadcast Algorithm (NBA)

- Limited cache capacity.
- Content push blind.
- Waste bandwidth and storage.
Strategy: Naive Broadcast Algorithm (NBA)

- Limited cache capacity.
- Content push blind.
- Waste bandwidth and storage.

The content popularity based method is invalid!
Strategy: Domain-constraint Multicast Algorithm (DMA)

- Cache’s preference for content is related to the area it covers.
- We measure the similarity between caches according to the requests received in the past period.

\[
W_{AB} = \frac{\sum_{c \in C} \min(V_A^c, V_B^c)}{\sum_{c \in C} \max(V_A^c, V_B^c)}
\]
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- Cache’s preference for content is related to the area it covers.
- We measure the similarity between caches according to the requests received in the past period.

\[ W_{AB} = \frac{\sum_{c \in C} \min(V^A_c, V^B_c)}{\sum_{c \in C} \max(V^A_c, V^B_c)} \]

The similarity between caches will change over time.
Strategy: Probabilistic Multicast Algorithm (PMA)

- Similarity will not change much in a short enough period.
- Content push occurs in the domain according to Jaccard similarity as a probability.

\[ p_{AB} = \frac{\sum_{c \in C} \min(r_{Ac}, r_{Bc})}{\sum_{c \in C} \max(r_{Ac}, r_{Bc})} \]
Experimental Results:

• Use the SNM [6] model to generate two request sequences.

• Map requests to different numbers of cache devices, and compare the performance of each cache strategy.

• The algorithm for comparison is the ABT algorithm and the ABT-prefetch algorithm proposed in [8].

• All the information can be found in our public source code: https://github.com/YanpengLuo/Towards-Problem-of-First-Miss-under-Mobile-EdgeCaching
The choice of the domains’ number and the frequency of domain updates need to be determined according to the actual situation.

With the increase of cache size, the hit rate of ABT and ABT prefetch strategies is lower than that of all the content push strategies proposed by us.
Experimental Results:

Due to the use of multicast technology, the real network overhead is not linear with the average number of transmissions per request. The ABT-prefetch cache strategy needs to detect very popular content before it can start content push, so it push accuracy is the highest one.
Conclusion:

- We first demonstrate the impact of first miss requests on the cache hit rate at the edge of the network, and verify this problem through real datasets.

- We propose Domain-constraint Multicast Algorithm (DMA) to proactively push content and reduce the number of first miss requests.

- We deal with the problem caused by dynamic changes in similarity by a Probabilistic Multicast Algorithm (PMA).

- We verify the effectiveness of the method proposed in this paper through simulation experiments.