#### IEEE MASS 2020

2020 IEEE 17th International Conference on Mobile Ad Hoc and Sensor Systems (MASS)







Yubin Duan<sup>1</sup>, Ning Wang<sup>2</sup>, and Jie Wu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Temple University

<sup>2</sup>Rowan University



#### Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Problem Formulation
- 3. Scheduling for Perfectly Parallel Stages
- 4. Scheduling for General Stages
- 5. Experiment
- 6. Conclusion







### **1. Introduction**

- Apache Spark
   Spark
  - A general-purpose distributed computing engine for data processing
  - Large Data: stored as Resilient Distributed Dataset (RDD) objects
  - Data processing flow: RDD transformations with DAG structure







#### **DAG Scheduler**

- Stages in Spark
  - Within each stage: computation tasks that can run in parallel
  - stage execution: data fetching phase and data processing phase
- DAG Scheduler in Spark
  - Parallelism level of each stage
  - Processing sequence of stages





2020 IEEE 17th International Conference on Mobile Ad Hoc and Sensor Systems (MASS)



#### Motivation

- Observations
  - Data fetching and processing use different resources
  - They can run in pipeline



- Contention of either resource would enlarge makespan







### Objective

- Minimize job makespan by reducing resource contentions
  - Focus on optimizing the DAG scheduler of Spark
- Key assumptions:
  - Non-preemptive
  - Equally allocated resources







#### IEEE MASS 2020

# 2. Problem Formulation

#### DAG shop scheduling problem

min 
$$\tau$$
,  
s.t.  $t'_i \leq t_j, \forall (s_i, s_j) \in E$ ,  
 $\sum_{s_i \in O(t)} p_i \leq P, \forall t > 0$ ,  
 $\sum_{s_i \in O(t)} b_i \leq B, \forall t > 0$ ,  
 $t_i \geq 0, \forall s_i \in S$ .

Precedence constraint Computation resource constraint Bandwidth constraint Schedule constraint

- Decision variables
  - Stage processing sequence or starting time  $t_i$
  - Number of machines assigned to a stage:  $p_i$
  - Bandwidth allocated to a stage:  $b_i$





#### **NP-hardness**

- NP-hard, even assuming the speedup is linear (ideal case)
  - Ideal speedup: 2 workers brings 2x speedup
- Proof:
  - Job shop problem (JSP) is NP-hard
  - Instances of JSP can be converted to our problem in polynomial time









#### **3. Scheduling for Perfectly Parallel Stages**

- Additional assumption
  - Speedup of any stage  $s_i$  in DAG is linear to  $p_i$
- Contention-free scheduler
  - Contention brings no benefits
  - Should assign all resources to a stage
  - Problem reduced: only need to determine a processing sequence





2020 IEEE 17th International Conference on Mobile Ad Hoc and Sensor Systems (MASS)



#### **Contention-free Scheduler**

- Apply Johnson's rule on ready-to-go stages
  - Divide stages into comm.-heavy and comp.-heavy groups
  - Sort comp.-heavy group by comm. time in ascending order
  - Sort comm.-heavy group by comp. time in descending order
- Example
  - (a, b): tuple represents the length of comm. and comp., respectively









#### **Properties**

• Our scheduler brings additional precedence constraints



- Our contention-free scheduler is 3/2-approximate if comp. and comm. of each stage have unit lengths.
  - The lost is bounded: our scheduler will not leave both resources idle



IEEE MASS 2020



## 4. Scheduling for General Stages

• General cases: speedup of  $s_i$  is not linear to  $p_i$ 



- Should have limitations on number of workers
  - Assigning too many workers to a stage is a waste
  - Set parallelism level limitations for comp. resources  $p_i$



IEEE MASS 2020



#### **Reinforcement Learning based Scheduler**



- RL framework:
  - State: use graph neural network to encode a DAG
  - Action: parallelism limitations, priority level and delay of each stage
  - Reward: expected time consumption for executing remaining stages



IEEE MASS 2020



#### 5. Experiment

- Experiment setting:
  - Alibaba trace data v2018: contains 2,775,025 jobs
  - Use m4.xlarge instance of AWS EC2 to build spark clusters



(a) The stage number distribution

(b) The stage duration distribution



2020 IEEE 17th International Conference on Mobile Ad Hoc and Sensor Systems (MASS)

### **Experiment Result**

• Makespan comparison



• Utilization improvement

#### THE AVERAGE RESOURCE UTILIZATION

	Default	DelayStage	RL-based
Average CPU utilization	37.9%	46.1%	50.4%
Average Network utilization	43.5%	54.5%	56.4%







#### 6. Conclusion

- Resource contention in DAG scheduling increase makespan
- Contention-free scheduler for ideal stages
  - DAG shop scheduling problem
  - NP-hard
  - A 3/2 approximation algorithm based on Johnson's rule
- RL-based scheduler for general stages
  - Apply graph neural network to encode stages
  - Adaptively adjust the contention level
- Resource utilization improve by about 30%



IEEE MASS 2020

# Thanks

# For any questions, don't hesitate to email me at yubin.duan@temple.edu





IEEE MASS 2020