

Lecture 3 - Chapter 2

CIS 5617, Spring 2020

Anduo Wang

Based on Slides created by JFK/KWR

7th edition

Jim Kurose, Keith Ross

Pearson/Addison Wesley

April 2016

Chapter 2: Applications

2.1 principles of network applications

2.5 P2P applications

2.4 DNS

Chapter 2: application layer

our goals:

- conceptual, implementation aspects of network application protocols
 - transport-layer service models
 - client-server paradigm
 - peer-to-peer paradigm
- learn about protocols by examining popular application-level protocols
 - DNS


Creating a network app

write programs that:

- run on (different) *end systems*
- communicate over network
- e.g., web server software communicates with browser software

no need to write software
for network-core devices

- network-core devices do not run user applications
- applications on end systems allows for rapid app development, propagation




Application architectures

possible structure of applications:

- client-server
- peer-to-peer (P2P)

Client-server architecture



server:


- always-on host
- permanent IP address
- data centers for scaling

clients:

- communicate with server
- may be intermittently connected
- may have dynamic IP addresses
- do not communicate directly with each other

P2P architecture

- no always-on server
- arbitrary end systems directly communicate
- peers request service from other peers, provide service in return to other peers
 - *self scalability* – new peers bring new service capacity, as well as new service demands
- peers are intermittently connected and change IP addresses
 - complex management



Processes communicating

process: program running within a host

- within same host, two processes communicate using **inter-process communication** (defined by OS)
- processes in different hosts communicate by exchanging **messages**

clients, servers

client process: process that initiates communication

server process: process that waits to be contacted

- aside: applications with P2P architectures have client processes & server processes

Addressing processes

- to receive messages, process must have *identifier*
- host device has unique 32-bit IP address
- Q: does IP address of host on which process runs suffice for identifying the process?
 - A: no, *many* processes can be running on same host
- *identifier* includes both **IP address** and **port numbers** associated with process on host.
- example port numbers:
 - HTTP server: 80
 - mail server: 25
- to send HTTP message to gaia.cs.umass.edu web server:
 - **IP address:** 128.119.245.12
 - **port number:** 80
- more shortly...

Internet transport protocols services

TCP service:

- *reliable transport* between sending and receiving process
- *flow control*: sender won't overwhelm receiver
- *congestion control*: throttle sender when network overloaded
- *does not provide*: timing, minimum throughput guarantee, security
- *connection-oriented*: setup required between client and server processes

UDP service:

- *unreliable data transfer* between sending and receiving process
- *does not provide*: reliability, flow control, congestion control, timing, throughput guarantee, security, or connection setup,

Q: why bother? Why is there a UDP?

Internet apps: application, transport protocols

application	application layer protocol	underlying transport protocol
e-mail	SMTP [RFC 2821]	TCP
remote terminal access	Telnet [RFC 854]	TCP
Web	HTTP [RFC 2616]	TCP
file transfer	FTP [RFC 959]	TCP
streaming multimedia	HTTP (e.g., YouTube), RTP [RFC 1889]	TCP or UDP
Internet telephony	SIP, RTP, proprietary (e.g., Skype)	TCP or UDP

Chapter 2: outline

2.1 principles of network applications

2.5 P2P applications

2.4 DNS

DNS: domain name system

people: many identifiers:

- SSN, name, passport #

Internet hosts, routers:

- IP address (32 bit) - used for addressing datagrams
- “name”, e.g., `www.yahoo.com` - used by humans

Q: how to map between IP address and name, and vice versa ?

Domain Name System:

- *distributed database*
implemented in hierarchy of many *name servers*
- *application-layer protocol:* hosts, name servers communicate to *resolve* names (address/name translation)
 - note: core Internet function, implemented as application-layer protocol
 - complexity at network's “edge”

DNS: services, structure

DNS services


- hostname to IP address translation
- host aliasing
 - canonical, alias names
- mail server aliasing
- load distribution
 - replicated Web servers: many IP addresses correspond to one name

why not centralize DNS?

- single point of failure
- traffic volume
- distant centralized database
- maintenance

A: doesn't scale!

DNS: a distributed, hierarchical database




client wants IP for www.amazon.com; 1st approximation:

- client queries root server to find com DNS server
- client queries .com DNS server to get amazon.com DNS server
- client queries amazon.com DNS server to get IP address for www.amazon.com

DNS: root name servers

- contacted by local name server that can not resolve name
- root name server:
 - contacts authoritative name server if name mapping not known
 - gets mapping
 - returns mapping to local name server



*13 logical root name
“servers” worldwide*
• *each “server” replicated
many times*

TLD, authoritative servers

top-level domain (TLD) servers:

- responsible for com, org, net, edu, aero, jobs, museums, and all top-level country domains, e.g.: uk, fr, ca, jp
- Network Solutions maintains servers for .com TLD
- Educause for .edu TLD

authoritative DNS servers:

- organization's own DNS server(s), providing authoritative hostname to IP mappings for organization's named hosts
- can be maintained by organization or service provider

Local DNS name server


- does not strictly belong to hierarchy
- each ISP (residential ISP, company, university) has one
 - also called “default name server”
- when host makes DNS query, query is sent to its local DNS server
 - has local cache of recent name-to-address translation pairs (but may be out of date!)
 - acts as proxy, forwards query into hierarchy

DNS name resolution example

- host at cis.poly.edu wants IP address for gaia.cs.umass.edu

iterated query:


- contacted server replies with name of server to contact
- “I don’t know this name, but ask this server”



DNS name resolution example

recursive query:

- puts burden of name resolution on contacted name server
- heavy load at upper levels of hierarchy?



DNS: caching, updating records

- once (any) name server learns mapping, it *caches* mapping
 - cache entries timeout (disappear) after some time (TTL)
 - TLD servers typically cached in local name servers
 - thus root name servers not often visited
- cached entries may be *out-of-date* (best effort name-to-address translation!)
 - if name host changes IP address, may not be known Internet-wide until all TTLs expire
- update/notify mechanisms proposed IETF standard
 - RFC 2136

DNS records

DNS: distributed database storing resource records (RR)

RR format: (name, value, type, ttl)

type=A

- **name** is hostname
- **value** is IP address

type=NS

- **name** is domain (e.g., foo.com)
- **value** is hostname of authoritative name server for this domain

type=CNAME

- **name** is alias name for some “canonical” (the real) name
- **www.ibm.com** is really **servereast.backup2.ibm.com**
- **value** is canonical name

type=MX


- **value** is name of mailserver associated with **name**

DNS protocol, messages


- *query* and *reply* messages, both with same *message format*

message header

- **identification**: 16 bit # for query, reply to query uses same #
- **flags**:
 - query or reply
 - recursion desired
 - recursion available
 - reply is authoritative



DNS protocol, messages



Inserting records into DNS

- example: new startup “Network Utopia”
- register name networkutopia.com at *DNS registrar* (e.g., Network Solutions)
 - provide names, IP addresses of authoritative name server (primary and secondary)
 - registrar inserts two RRs into .com TLD server:
(networkutopia.com, dns1.networkutopia.com, NS)
(dns1.networkutopia.com, 212.212.212.1, A)
- create authoritative server type A record for www.networkutopia.com; type MX record for networkutopia.com

Chapter 2: outline


2.1 principles of network applications

2.4 DNS

2.5 P2P applications

Pure P2P architecture


- *no* always-on server
- arbitrary end systems directly communicate
- peers are intermittently connected and change IP addresses



File distribution: client-server vs P2P

Question: how much time to distribute file (size F) from one server to N peers?


- peer upload/download capacity is limited resource



File distribution time: client-server

- **server transmission:** must sequentially send (upload) N file copies:

- time to send one copy: F/u_s
- time to send N copies: NF/u_s



- **client:** each client must download file copy

- d_{min} = min client download rate
- min client download time: F/d_{min}


*time to distribute F
to N clients using
client-server approach*

$$D_{c-s} \geq \max\{NF/u_s, F/d_{min}\}$$

increases linearly in N

File distribution time: P2P

- **server transmission:** must upload at least one copy
 - time to send one copy: F/u_s
- **client:** each client must download file copy
 - min client download time: F/d_{\min}
- **clients:** as aggregate must download NF bits
 - max upload rate (limiting max download rate) is $u_s + \sum u_i$



*time to distribute F
to N clients using
P2P approach*


$$D_{P2P} \geq \max\{F/u_s, F/d_{\min}, NF/(u_s + \sum u_i)\}$$

increases linearly in N ...

... but so does this, as each peer brings service capacity

Client-server vs. P2P: example

client upload rate = u , $F/u = 1$ hour, $u_s = 10u$, $d_{min} \geq u_s$



Chapter 2: outline

2.1 principles of network applications

2.4 DNS

2.5 P2P applications