

CIS 5617, Fall 2019 Anduo Wang Based on Slides created by JFK/KWR

7th edition Jim Kurose, Keith Ross Pearson/Addison Wesley April 2016

<u>To do</u>

Home work 2: due 02/07 05:30pm

• Submit in class

<u>To do</u>

<u>Review 2:</u> due 02/07 05:30pm

- A data-oriented (and beyond) network architecture
 - https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1282402
- Submit online using this link
 - https://www.dropbox.com/request/Z5rvcg6mhC32zvAllpAX
 - Submission link will be closed after the deadline

Chapter 2: Applications

- 2.1 principles of network 2.5 P2P applications applications
- 2.4 DNS

Chapter 2: application layer

our goals:

- conceptual, implementation aspects of network application protocols
 - transport-layer service models
 - client-server paradigm
 - peer-to-peer paradigm

- learn about protocols by examining popular application-level protocols
 - DNS

Creating a network app

write programs that:

- run on (different) end systems
- communicate over network
- e.g., web server software communicates with browser software

no need to write software for network-core devices

- network-core devices do not run user applications
- applications on end systems allows for rapid app development, propagation



Application architectures

possible structure of applications:

- client-server
- peer-to-peer (P2P)

Client-server architecture



server:

- always-on host
- permanent IP address
- data centers for scaling

clients:

- communicate with server
- may be intermittently connected
- may have dynamic IP addresses
- do not communicate directly with each other

P2P architecture

- no always-on server
- arbitrary end systems directly communicate
- peers request service from other peers, provide service in return to other peers
 - self scalability new peers bring new service capacity, as well as new service demands
- peers are intermittently connected and change IP addresses
 - complex management



Processes communicating

process: program running within a host

- within same host, two processes communicate using inter-process communication (defined by OS)
- processes in different hosts communicate by exchanging messages

- clients, servers

client process: process that initiates communication

server process: process that waits to be contacted

 aside: applications with P2P architectures have client processes & server processes

Addressing processes

- to receive messages, process must have identifier
- host device has unique 32bit IP address
- Q: does IP address of host on which process runs suffice for identifying the process?
 - <u>A</u>: no, *many* processes can be running on same host

- identifier includes both IP address and port numbers associated with process on host.
- example port numbers:
 - HTTP server: 80
 - mail server: 25
- to send HTTP message to gaia.cs.umass.edu web server:
 - IP address: 128.119.245.12
 - port number: 80
- more shortly...

Internet transport protocols services

TCP service:

- reliable transport between sending and receiving process
- flow control: sender won't overwhelm receiver
- congestion control: throttle sender when network overloaded
- does not provide: timing, minimum throughput guarantee, security
- connection-oriented: setup required between client and server processes

UDP service:

- unreliable data transfer between sending and receiving process
- does not provide: reliability, flow control, congestion control, timing, throughput guarantee, security, or connection setup,
- <u>Q:</u> why bother? Why is there a UDP?

Internet apps: application, transport protocols

	application	application layer protocol	underlying transport protocol
	e-mail	SMTP [RFC 2821]	TCP
remote	e terminal access	Telnet [RFC 854]	ТСР
	Web	HTTP [RFC 2616]	ТСР
	file transfer	FTP [RFC 959]	ТСР
strea	ming multimedia	HTTP (e.g., YouTube),	TCP or UDP
	-	RTP [RFC 1889]	
Ir	iternet telephony	SIP, RTP, proprietary	
	•	(e.g., Skype)	TCP or UDP



- 2.1 principles of network 2.5 P2P applications applications
- 2.4 DNS

DNS: domain name system

people: many identifiers:

• SSN, name, passport #

Internet hosts, routers:

- IP address (32 bit) used for addressing datagrams
- "name", e.g.,
 www.yahoo.com used by humans
- Q: how to map between IP address and name, and vice versa ?

Domain Name System:

- distributed database implemented in hierarchy of many name servers
- application-layer protocol: hosts, name servers communicate to resolve names (address/name translation)
 - note: core Internet function, implemented as applicationlayer protocol
 - complexity at network's "edge"

DNS: services, structure

DNS services

- hostname to IP address translation
- host aliasing
 - canonical, alias names
- mail server aliasing
- Ioad distribution
 - replicated Web servers: many IP addresses correspond to one name

why not centralize DNS?

- single point of failure
- traffic volume
- distant centralized database
- maintenance

A: doesn't scale!

DNS: a distributed, hierarchical database



client wants IP for www.amazon.com; 1st approximation:

- client queries root server to find com DNS server
- client queries .com DNS server to get amazon.com DNS server
- client queries amazon.com DNS server to get IP address for www.amazon.com

DNS: root name servers

- contacted by local name server that can not resolve name
- root name server:
 - contacts authoritative name server if name mapping not known
 - gets mapping
 - returns mapping to local name server



TLD, authoritative servers

top-level domain (TLD) servers:

- responsible for com, org, net, edu, aero, jobs, museums, and all top-level country domains, e.g.: uk, fr, ca, jp
- Network Solutions maintains servers for .com TLD
- Educause for .edu TLD

authoritative DNS servers:

- organization's own DNS server(s), providing authoritative hostname to IP mappings for organization's named hosts
- can be maintained by organization or service provider

Local DNS name server

- does not strictly belong to hierarchy
- each ISP (residential ISP, company, university) has one
 - also called "default name server"
- when host makes DNS query, query is sent to its local DNS server
 - has local cache of recent name-to-address translation pairs (but may be out of date!)
 - acts as proxy, forwards query into hierarchy

DNS name resolution example

 host at cis.poly.edu wants IP address for gaia.cs.umass.edu

iterated query:

- contacted server replies with name of server to contact
- "I don't know this name, but ask this server"



gaia.cs.umass.edu

DNS name resolution example

recursive query:

- puts burden of name resolution on contacted name server
- heavy load at upper levels of hierarchy?



gaia.cs.umass.edu

DNS: caching, updating records

- once (any) name server learns mapping, it caches mapping
 - cache entries timeout (disappear) after some time (TTL)
 - TLD servers typically cached in local name servers
 - thus root name servers not often visited
- cached entries may be out-of-date (best effort name-to-address translation!)
 - if name host changes IP address, may not be known Internet-wide until all TTLs expire
- update/notify mechanisms proposed IETF standard
 - RFC 2136

DNS: distributed database storing resource records (RR)

RR format: (name, value, type, ttl)



- name is hostname
- value is IP address

type=NS

- **name** is domain (e.g., foo.com)
- **value** is hostname of authoritative name server for this domain

type=CNAME

- name is alias name for some "canonical" (the real) name
- www.ibm.com is really servereast.backup2.ibm.com
- value is canonical name

type=MX

 value is name of mailserver associated with name

DNS protocol, messages

query and reply messages, both with same message format

message header

- identification: 16 bit # for query, reply to query uses same #
- flags:
 - query or reply
 - recursion desired
 - recursion available
 - reply is authoritative



DNS protocol, messages



Inserting records into DNS

- example: new startup "Network Utopia"
- register name networkuptopia.com at DNS registrar (e.g., Network Solutions)
 - provide names, IP addresses of authoritative name server (primary and secondary)
 - registrar inserts two RRs into .com TLD server: (networkutopia.com, dns1.networkutopia.com, NS) (dns1.networkutopia.com, 212.212.212.1, A)
- create authoritative server type A record for www.networkuptopia.com; type MX record for networkutopia.com



- 2.1 principles of network 2.5 P2P applications applications
- 2.4 DNS

Pure P2P architecture

- no always-on server
- arbitrary end systems directly communicate
- peers are intermittently connected and change IP addresses



File distribution: client-server vs P2P

Question: how much time to distribute file (size F) from one server to N peers?

• peer upload/download capacity is limited resource



File distribution time: client-server

- server transmission: must sequentially send (upload) N file copies:
 - time to send one copy: F/u_s
 - time to send N copies: NF/u_s
- client: each client must download file copy
 - d_{min} = min client download rate
 - min client download time: F/d_{min}

time to distribute F to N clients using client-server approach

 d_i network U_i

increases linearly in N

 $D_{c-s} \ge max\{NF/u_{s}, F/d_{min}\}$

Application Layer 2-31

File distribution time: P2P

- server transmission: must upload at least one copy
 - time to send one copy: F/u_s
- client: each client must download file copy
 - min client download time: F/d_{min}
- clients: as aggregate must download NF bits
 - max upload rate (limiting max download rate) is $u_s + \Sigma u_i$

time to distribute F to N clients using $D_{P2P} \ge max\{F/u_{s_i}, F/d_{min_i}, NF/(u_s + \Sigma u_i)\}$ P2P approach

increases linearly in N ...

... but so does this, as each peer brings service capacity

Application Layer 2-32

 d_i

U;

network

Client-server vs. P2P: example

client upload rate = u, F/u = 1 hour, $u_s = 10u$, $d_{min} \ge u_s$



Application Layer 2-33



- 2.1 principles of network 2.5 P2P applications applications
- 2.4 DNS