

Artificial General Intelligence

5. Memory Self-Organization

Dr. Pei Wang

Dr. Patrick Hammer

Dr. Robert Johansson

Digital Futures (KTH, SU, RISE)

Stockholm, Fall 2022

Incrementally constructing NARS

- Like NAL, NARS is developed incrementally, and in each stage, the system is revised with
 - 1) additional Narsese grammar rules to introduce new term and sentence types
 - 2) additional IL and NAL inference rules to process the new types
 - 3) revised and augmented system architecture, memory structure, and control mechanism
- The intelligence of NARS is increased in this way

Ideas from set theory

- Narsese came from the attempt of using the language of set theory for representation
- *Set* becomes *concept*: fuzzy boundary, defined by both extension and intension, uncountable
- *Subset* becomes *inheritance*: multi-valued, combined extensional and intensional aspects
- The categorical language of term logic has similar features

Ideas from set theory (2)

- Additional copulas: *similarity, instance, property*, with different roles in concept hierarchy
- Compound terms: using *extensional / intensional intersection* and *difference* as “term connectors”
- Relational terms: used with term connectors *product* and *image* for empirical relations
- Each copula and term connector is a *logical constant* with corresponding inference rules

Ideas from propositional logic

- Statement: a term that can has a truth-value
- Isomorphism between term-level inference and statement-level inference
- Correspondence between *implication* (as a statement) and *derivation* (as a procedure)
- *Negation* of statement: positive and negative evidence switched
- IL theorems can be used in NAL inference

Ideas from predicate logic

- A *variable* term can name different concepts in different sentences
- Types: *independent* (\$), *dependent* (#), *query* (?)
- Rules: *introduction*, *unification*, and *elimination*
- Sample usage: separating the extensional and intensional aspects in *inheritance*
- Adding abstract reasoning and axiomatic subsystems into NARS

NARS for question answering

- Using the logic of NAL-1 to NAL-6, NARS can answer questions using declarative knowledge, comparable to natural languages
- The memory structure is still a graph, but with
 - compound terms as nodes (including statement)
 - compositional relations as links (between a compound and its components)

Relations among terms

Types of relations among terms:

- *Semantic*: expressed by copulas

e.g., *swan* \rightarrow *bird*

- *Syntactic*: expressed by constructors

e.g., between $([white] \cap bird)$ and *bird*

- *Empirical*: expressed by relational terms

e.g., *dissolve*, *give*, *friend*

The former two types are innate, the latter is not

Concept model

- The *general meaning* of a term consists of all the existing relations in the concept it identifies
- The *current meaning* of a term involved in the processing of a task consists of all of its accessed relations in the process
- The meaning of a term (and the corresponding concept) depends on history and context
- Meaning is compositional, but not fully reducible

Learning as self-organizing

- All empirical knowledge is learnable: tasks, beliefs, and concepts
- Learning as reasoning: different perspectives of the same process
- Learning is not function approximation, and does not follow any algorithm
- Meta-level knowledge is experience-independent and cannot be learned by the system itself

Suggested Readings

- Wikipedia:
 - [Set theory](#)
 - [Propositional logic](#)
 - [Predicate logic](#)
 - [Categorization](#)
 - [Learning](#)
- Pei Wang, Non-Axiomatic Logic, Chapter 6-10
- Pei Wang, Rigid Flexibility, Chapter 4, 10-11