Abstract— Lately, Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) have evolved considerably, and are proving to be very useful for many important applications in commercial, metropolitan, and military environments. Typically, UAVs require the collaboration with other systems to achieve their mission. Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) constitute an example of such systems. In traditional applications, UAVs obtain the required data from specific sensors in a peer-to-peer network. However, this network architecture restricts the scalability and development of the application. This paper proposes a softwarization architecture for UAVs and WSNs collaboration based on the Internet of Things (IoT) model. The higher layers are proposed to be a part of the cloud to take advantage of important and useful cloud services, while UAVs and WSNs are devices that interact with the real world. Our proposed architecture uses a combination of three paradigms which include network softwarization, Software Defined Networks (SDN), and Network Functional Virtualization (NFV). These strategies are associated with decoupling the hardware devices from the control layer that virtualizes the device resources for the higher layers. The architecture is illustrated with an agricultural example of a collaborative system that consists of multiple sensors and UAVs. A prototype system that consists of sensing nodes, UAVs, a WSN controller, a UAV controller, and an orchestration layer was implemented. This implementation provides a proof of our architecture by implementing the layers and components and then testing the system operation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

UAVs and WSNs have applications in many areas such as agriculture, monitoring, surveillance for example. Usually, systems are developed for particular applications in a predetermined network of UAVs and WSNs. However, these restricted systems limit the usage of the resources for other applications. In closed network applications, resources are tightly coupled and depend on specific devices. Therefore, modifying the available resources becomes a nightmare for the system developers and may require shutting down the system during any maintenance. Furthermore, the system is fallible, which reduces the system reliability. However, the recent concepts of SDN and NFV represent the future of networks and services. SDN is associated with decoupling the control functions from the hardware using open interfaces, while NFV virtualizes the underlying functions and hardware to provide an abstract view of the network. These paradigms motivate the initiation of new research for utilizing the concept of softwarization to change the network without reinventing the network architecture.

This paper continues the work of our previous research. In [1], we discussed the opportunities and challenges of integrating UAVs with the cloud. Then, we presented the integration protocol of UAVs to the cloud as an IoT technology in [2]. Next, in [3] we proposed an architecture for accessing UAVs through a third party. This was followed by [4], where we proposed a cloud platform for developing UAV applications for UAVs. This paper improves upon our previous research by presenting network softwarization for UAVs, as well as other heterogeneous systems such as WSNs, by decoupling UAV and WSN Devices from the control in a controller layer that virtualizes the device services to the higher layers and provides an abstract view to the higher layer. Then, the orchestration layer manages the mission. This layer deals with abstract Application Programming Interface (API) to access UAVs and WSNs. As a result, the orchestration layer deals with the abstract services rather that the details of the devices’ protocols. This supports the scalability of the system.

For illustration purposes, a simple irrigation scenario is used throughout the paper to represent the proposed architecture. In this scenario, the end user initiates an irrigation mission in a certain area through the application; this mission is executed using WSN to measure the land humidity and UAVs for irrigation. The mission is organized and managed through the middle layers, the controller layer and the orchestration layer. The proposed architecture is implemented using AR drones, humidity sensors, as well as a UAV controller, and WSN controller servers. The tasks are requested by another device to present the orchestration layer.

The rest of the paper is organized as the following: Section Error! Reference source not found. is a literature review of motivation, background, and related work. Section III describes the considerations and opportunities gained from the SDN, NFV, and softwarization concepts. A detailed description of the architecture is presented in Section IV. An implementation is provided to evaluate the proposed architecture in Section V. Section VI concludes the paper.
II. RELATED WORK

There are many applications for UAVs such as the example presented by Varela et al. [5], where UAVs are used for environmental monitoring including collecting data on air quality in different layers of the atmosphere, as some information cannot be collected by ground systems due to gases or smoke from fires. In another example, Fausto et al. in [6] proposed an architecture for using UAVs and a Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) in agriculture applications. The researchers developed a system of collaborative UAVs to efficiently spray pesticides and fertilizers in agricultural areas that can be reached only with difficulty by humans without missing some areas in the spraying process, duplicating spraying areas, or spraying outside boundaries. Mohammed et al. [7] referred to UAV applications for smart cities. They also discussed some business applications of UAVs such as in Amazon Prime Air for delivering products and their use for restaurant services [8]. These various application opportunities of UAVs have encouraged researchers and developers to shift their focus to improve efficient frameworks to develop UAV applications easily, especially for multiple distributed UAVs that cooperate with each other. Therefore, different architectures and communication protocols for collaborative UAVs have been developed.

Cloud computing is a new paradigm for hosting and delivering services over the Internet. Some research has been carried out to utilize the Cloud for some UAV applications [9]. Video Exploitation Tools is an example of an SOA application for UAVs as implemented by Se et al. [10]. More investigations were conducted to explore smart objects such as sensors, actuators, and embedded devices connected to the Internet through the IoT [11]. The main focus of IoT is establishing network connectivity between smart objects and the Internet, while the Web of Things (WoT) builds the application layer on top of the network [12]. Accordingly, the Web tools and protocols can be used for developing and interacting with these objects. In the IoT field, Guinard et al. [13] proposed the Representational State Transfer (REST) architecture by defining an object as a server that provides its resources in a Resource Oriented Architecture (ROA). Guinard et al. used the web tools as a solution for the WoT. These researchers proposed two methods for accessing objects [14]. First, they connected devices to a smart gateway for measuring power consumption. In this approach, objects that have no direct Internet connectivity are connected to the smart gateway through other protocols such as Bluetooth and ZigBee. The second method is a direct access to WSNs, where each node is considered as a web server that has a uniform interface that the client applications access.

More recently, network research has been associated with SDNs that decouple the control plane and data plane from each other [15]. The control plane interacts both with the higher layer and lower layer. With the higher layer interaction, the control plane provides a common abstracted view of the network, while the lower layer interaction direction, the control plane programs the forwarding behavior, using device-level APIs of the physical network equipment distributed around the network. Moreover, Network-Function Virtualization enables the network devices to be virtualized as building block classes and functions managed by the orchestration layer [16]. In the literature, some papers have addressed the SDN for IoT and WSN. Qin et al. [17] designed an SDN architecture for the IoT environment focusing on developing a multi-network controller that serves scheduling algorithms for heterogeneous traffic patterns and network links. Caraguaty et al. [18] surveyed the opportunities of developing IoT applications using SDN compared with the traditional architectures. Moreover, Jacobsson and Orfanidis [19] proposed an architecture for WSN based on the SDN principles. The SDN was used for building low-cost off-the-shelf hardware to achieve customized development. Jacobsson and Orfanidis discussed the reconfiguration of the network and used the collected information for several applications. Gante et al. [20] discussed the use of SDN for WSN smart management. They proposed a framework in which a controller resides in a base station to gather information from the distributed nodes to define routing rules.

Although several investigations have adopted the SDN architecture for WSN, they are still at the early stages of developing a mature platform to develop applications on top of it. Our paper proposes an architecture that gains the benefit of SDN as well as NFV and softwarization. In addition, our proposed architecture extends the concept to include several types of physical resources that are managed by multiple controllers, so that the UAVs are separated from the WSNs and each has its specific tasks and services.

Tightly Coupled Vs. Loosely Coupled Architectures

In distributed systems, components access and interact with others to share and exchange data. System components can either be tightly coupled or loosely coupled. In tightly coupled systems, components and nodes have full knowledge about others with defined interactions and roles. This approach faces many limitations. It is difficult to reconfigure the network by adding or removing nodes from highly coupled systems. Dependencies increase the probability of failures in the tightly coupled systems, where a failure in one of the components affects the others due to interdependencies and data sharing. On the other hand, loosely coupled systems provide more flexibility in configuration. In this approach, components have either limited or no knowledge about other nodes. The component does not have to interact directly with the node that provides the data, since any component that satisfies the conditions may provide the required data or service.

The loosely coupled system makes it easier to reconfigure the network or modify the nodes with less effort. Adding new components does not require reconfiguring the whole system; instead, only the new component needs to be registered and reconfigured in the control plane. In addition, modifying an existing component or replacing it could be done at run time without affecting the system operation. Moreover, loosely coupled architectures support redundancy for components and nodes, which improves system reaction to failures and increases its robustness and reliability. In IoT, smart objects are usually designed for a specific application in a coupled architecture where objects are configured together to perform some tasks in certain scenarios. However, this approach faces
some difficulties of developing new applications as well as integrating current objects with other nodes.

This paper continues our previous work and focuses on WSN as sensors that collect data from the real environment, and UAVs perform actions in accordance with the real environment to accomplish a mission. The collected sensing data from the WSN affects the actions of UAVs. According to the running scenario, in a tightly coupled system, sensor nodes interact directly with UAVs as shown in Figure 1; therefore, each sensor node has full knowledge about the UAV address, communication protocol, commands, and other criteria. In addition, to add or remove UAVs, it is necessary to notify the WSN of the new state of the system, which adds more overload on the system besides the mission coordinating communication. Furthermore, the overhead of the decision-making should be considered, where the humidity sensors decide the need of spraying by UAVs, although the sensors’ capability is not sufficient to handle decision-making processing. On the other hand, in the loosely coupled architecture the WSN and the UAVs do not interact directly with each other. The middle layer takes the control of managing the cooperation between the WSN and the UAVs. This layer separates the WSN layer from the UAVs; therefore, the WSN does not have a detailed knowledge about the UAVs.

![Figure 1 UAVs and WSN in a tightly coupled architecture.](image)

### III. SPECIAL ISSUES AND OPPORTUNITIES

Before developing UAVs and a WSN framework, some considerations should be taken into account. These considerations are summarized as follows.

#### A. Considerations Related to UAVs and WSNs

- **Limited capabilities:** Sensing devices in WSN have limited capabilities with respect to memory, processor capacity, and energy. Although UAVs have more powerful resources, they consume their internal resources more rapidly; therefore, they require lightweight software that does not heavily consume their resources.

- **Context perspectives:** The availability of some services depends on several contexts such as the device’s location, energy level, or specific sensor readings. Therefore, if an available UAV is currently near the mission location, it is preferable to choose it rather than a similar UAV that is far from the specified location.

- **Real-time management:** UAV task allocation, mission management, and flight control algorithms should be provided for real-time execution and path planning management, which requires reliable communications.

- **Reliable connection:** These devices require continuous connectivity to the cloud so that they can access the cloud and their resources to be invoked when required. The assumption of a reliable connection is valid for operations in city areas such as smart cities where networks are available for 3G/4G/LTE connections.

- **Physical environment:** The services provided by the UAVs are physical world services, thus they sense and affect the physical environment. UAV services that make changes in the environment such as spraying should be managed carefully, e.g. these services should not be duplicated over the same area. In case of a repeated request, there should be approval or acknowledgment before performing the service.

#### B. SDN and NFV Opportunities for UAV and WSN

The proposed architecture enables the SDN concept by separating the physical resource layer from the control layer as well as gaining the benefit from the NFV architecture by virtualizing the devices and its functions; this opens numerous opportunities for UAVs and WSN applications and development. In addition, the softwarization concept provides the service modeling.

SDN, NFV, and softwarization provide several opportunities for the architecture model. To demonstrate that, a description of the system is discussed below without each of them following the agriculture example:

- **Separating responsibilities:** Without the SDN, the control plane and the service plane reside on the same component. In this case, the WSN and UAVs have the intelligence systems on them. Hence, the sensor collects the humidity of the soil and decides the need for spraying, which overloads the sensors processing. As a result, by separating the control plane from the sensing devices, each component has separate and specific responsibility.

- **Virtualization:** This allows the higher layer to view the physical devices as a single block system. WSN and UAVs can be homogeneous or heterogeneous. However, developing heterogeneous applications for UAV and WSN is a complex task without virtualization [21].

- **Abstraction:** Without virtualization, the higher layers interact with the components by specifying the device explicitly. With virtualization, the higher layers view the WSN as a network of sensors regardless of their real distribution.

- **Modularity:** Softwarization utilizes the SDN and NFV and then provides the functions of the components as services so that the higher layer is re-programmed easily to modify the network mission according to predeveloped modules.

- **Configurability:** Without softwarization, it is difficult to reconfigure the control system. In the case of adding other
types of components such as ground vehicles to the WSN and UAVs system, the softwarization architecture provides the ground vehicles’ services and registers their descriptions to be added to the system easily. Then, the higher layers deal with the components as services.

f) **Cloud advantages:** Because the control plane resides in huge servers or in the cloud, it utilizes the powerful processing and storage available. Allocating the control plane on the cloud provides several advantages such as: 1) **Ubiquity:** The ubiquitous property of cloud computing that allows users to access the system from anywhere at any time. 2) **Elasticity:** The cloud has a huge and scalable infrastructure of processing power; the controller plane computations could be made on the cloud. Therefore, the reserved processing and storage of the higher layer are increased according to the current usage rather than reserving fixed processing servers. 3) **Cloud services:** Cloud computing provides ubiquitous services such as Google Earth 3D maps and computations that can be integrated with the system services to develop efficient applications. 4) **Cloud-level reliability:** As the controller resides on the cloud, it allows duplication on multiple servers, so that in case of controller failure on one server, it switches to another working server. 5) **Mobility:** Devices are required to connect to the cloud through any access point. This allows the devices to move to different places as long as they are connected to the cloud. This can be compared with the central station where devices are connected to a single point, which restricts the area of movements. 6) **Standardized communication protocols:** The cloud uses standardized communication protocols to request services and exchange data such as Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP). Therefore, versatile nodes can use these standards regardless of their operating systems, programming languages, and commands. The standardized protocols make the application development easier on top of the platform.

g) **Scalability:** Adding more UAVs or resources becomes easier by registering these devices to the platform as plug-and-play without affecting the application layers, so that devices are attached to the mission in the run time of the operation.

h) **Component fault-tolerance:** In case of a component failure in the lower layer, the component may be replaced with another similar component to perform the task without affecting the architecture or the flow of the mission.

i) **Reusability:** The web service architectures support reusability so that the device resources are used for different applications according to their availability. For example, the agriculture humidity sensors could be used simultaneously for the spraying mission as well as for a soil quality mission that measures ability of the soil to reserve its water for a period of time.

j) **Cost efficiency:** The reusability of the same component in different applications reduces the cost of owning the same component for each application, the CAPltal EXpenditure (CAPEX) by reducing the hardware resources of multiple systems by reusability. In this case, it can be used easily without interfering between applications. In addition, the orchestration and management of the resources reduces the OPerational EXpenditures (OPEX) during the process.

IV. **SOFTWARIZATION ARCHITECTURE LAYERS**

In the near future, cloud computing will extend the cloud infrastructure to include terminals in the real world. The new research of the Software Defined Network evolves the client side to act as servers and provide services in the physical world through well-defined APIs [22].

The softwarization architecture is shown in Figure 2. It is composed of the following layers: first, the bottom layer is the Physical Resource Layer. It consists of the WSN and the UAV sub-layers that provide services to interact with real world. Second, the Controller layer contains the WSN and UAV controllers as well as the database for data storage. This layer represents the abstract services of the physical resource layer to the higher layer. Thirdly, the Orchestration Layer is responsible for organizing and managing the mission. It requests the required services from the suitable controller. Finally, the top layer is the Application Layer where the user interacts through a browser and requests the mission. The general sequence diagram of the proposed model is presented in Figure 3. The roles and services of the architecture layers are summarized in Table 1.

A. **Physical Resource Layer**

These are the devices that provide services in the real environment. WSN are used to collect data from the soil, while UAVs sprays the area for irrigation. These services are provided on demand when requested by the higher layers. The service is similar to a web service that is requested through API or command then does measurements or actions and return values. The devices do not depend or interact with each other. Hence, each device is a standalone service. However, the device has a local controller for internal processes. For example, a UAV has local controller for autonomous flight.

1) **WSN Devices Sub-layer**

First, a WSN node includes tiny sensing nodes that are distributed in one or more regions of the area. A node consists of several components of a local controller that is a tiny processor responsible of controlling the inner processing of the node, wireless communication system to interact with the higher layer, sensors to collect data from the physical world, and energy source to provide operation energy. Each node is registered to the WSN controller, then identified by a unique IP and allocated. They accumulate the required data from the physical environment and send it to the WSN controller when required. The WSN may be divided then clustered in groups according to the network area.

The WSN provides sensing services to the WSN controller in a loosely coupled design. Each node provides its sensing data from the physical environment as services accessed through APIs, regardless of other nodes or components. In this
scenario, WSN node senses the soil humidity and sends the reading to the WSN when requested.

2) UAV Devices Sub-layer
Second, UAVs are flying vehicles that perform actions to the real environment; their components include payloads such as spraying and camera, internal memory, processor for local control, communication system to interact with the ground station, and other resources. In this research, they are considered as actuators that sprays the specified area as requested. Each UAV provides several services that physically affect environment. UAVs receive requests from the UAV controller through standardized protocols and APIs.

B. Controller Layer
This layer is responsible of representing the physical resource layer and its services to the higher layer in an abstract view. Each device belongs to a certain controller so that they provide similar services. For example, UAVs belongs to the UAV controller, while sensing nodes belongs to the WSN controller. Hence, different service providers may provide their services independently from each other.

The controller layer implies main roles: registering the entities, monitoring the status of the entities, analyzing the service requirements and allocating the most suitable one for the requested service. In addition it interacts with the orchestration layer for service requests and result replies. The controller roles could be in one layer or different sub layers.

- **Registration**: The new device should be registered to the controller and define its service capabilities and interfaces. Then, it is registered in the controller database with a unique identifier, which allows it to be requested when needed. This sub layer allows multiple devices to be added or removed without affecting system performance. Furthermore, it facilitates the maintenance of the devices at the run time of the mission so that the device is removed temporary then returned easily.

- **Monitoring**: during the mission, the status of some devices may change, for example, the availability of their services, the remaining power and the dynamic location in some situation. In addition, it monitors the workflow of the active devices to insure accomplishing the service.

- **Task allocation**: This is one of the key roles of the controller to allocate the service to the suitable available entity. This role requires decision making and description analysis. When the controller receives the service request, it analyzes the service description, and then fetches the most suitable device to perform this service. The allocation depends on different properties, for instance, the device availability, location, power level and other parameters. Accordingly, the controller requests the service from that device and monitors it workflow.

The controller layer interacts with higher and lower layers, as well as the database; the orchestration layer sends the service request to the controller layer by describing the service in a description language. Furthermore, the controller interacts with the lower layer -the physical resource layer- using the device resource APIs and commands. The databases hold the information and collected data during the mission. The controller layer provides an abstract view for the physical resource layer services, therefore, the higher layer does not require knowing the interaction language for each entity.

1) WSN Controller Sub-layer
The WSN controller resides in the cloud side, and it is responsible for registering the sensors in its database so that the available sensors can be distinguished from the ones currently in use. In addition, it deals with the low-level communication protocols where different sensors may have different protocols. Subsequently, the WSN controller provides virtualized sensing services to the higher layer in abstract APIs. The importance of this layer is to hide the heterogeneity of the sensor interfaces from the higher layers to be viewed as sensing services without being concerned about the sensors’ configurations.

![Figure 2 Softwarization of UAV and WSN architecture.](image)

![Figure 3 The general proposed sequence diagram for softwarization architecture](image)

2) UAV Controller Sub-layer
Similarly, the UAV controller resides in the cloud which could be in the same or a different server. It provides virtualized services of UAVs in abstract APIs to the higher
layer. It is also responsible for allocating the suitable available UAV for the required task requested by the higher layer.

C. Orchestration Layer

This layer acts as a middleware between the application and services. It is responsible of organizing the sequence of the tasks and linking the services according to their dependencies. This layer requires decision making and intelligence abilities. When the orchestration layer receives the tasks from the application, it generates a list of services and dependencies to link them. For example, the spraying mission requires sensing the soil humidity as well as irrigation action. The irrigation process depends on the humidity measurements. As a result, the orchestration layer requests the humidity service and then analyses the measured values to decide the irrigation process.

When the orchestration layer generates the service list, it requests each service from the controller that provides the required service by describing its parameters in service description language. Next, it receives the service value or the acknowledgment of the service performance.

The orchestration layer insures the isolation between service entities, therefore, no direct interaction between sensors and UAVs. This isolation allows the system to be elastic i.e. adding more service provides from deferent sources without affecting the higher layer. In this scenario, the services are not owned by the end user however, multiple providers may offer their devices as services.

D. Application Layer

This is the front end of the system where the user interacts with to request the mission and get the end results. For instance, the application allows the user to specify an area for irrigation. When taking into account that the application resides on the cloud side, the user will be able to access it ubiquitously. The user enters the requirements through a user friendly interface, and then the application translates the mission requirements into service description format. After that, the application sends the generated service description to the orchestration layer to organize the mission. In addition, the application notifies the user with the mission results at the end of the mission. The end user initiates the mission by identifying the mission parameters. In this case, the end user specifies the area that needs to irrigate.

V. Evaluation

The system was implemented using several layers as shown in Figure 2 and discussed in Section IV. First, the physical resource layer is composed of sensor nodes and UAVs.

1) WSN Devices Implementation

The sensors were implemented as shown in Figure 4 using Arduinos as a processor along with a DHT sensor for humidity measurements and Adafruit CC3000 for wireless connectivity. A 9 volt battery was used as the power source.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actor</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Role/Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Resources</td>
<td>The physical devices that provide services in real</td>
<td>- Collects measurements from real</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>world</td>
<td>environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller Layer</td>
<td>Provides device services in abstract format</td>
<td>- Registers entities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchestration Layer</td>
<td>Organizes the sequence of the mission and links</td>
<td>- Virtualizes resource layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>service dependencies</td>
<td>- Monitors entities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Gets service requests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Allocates services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Layer</td>
<td>The front end user friendly interface that</td>
<td>- Creates service list and service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present the mission to the user</td>
<td>description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Requests services from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>controllers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Analyzes values and make</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>decisions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Summary of roles and services of the softwareization model layers

Figure 4 The conceptual view of a sensing node.

2) UAV Device implementation

Then, an AR drone was used to implement the UAV physical layer. The AR drone has pre-programmed commands for controlling the UAV but has no spraying service. Pre-programmed commands were used instead to show the interaction between the UAV and the UAV controller server.

AR Drone Configuration

AR drones are configured in booting by default as a Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) server and access point. Then, a client such as laptop or smartphone connects to it and gets IPs from the UAV in order to communicate. However, this topology is not supporting our proposed architecture. The proposed architecture requires the UAV to connect to an access point that provides IPs.

To connect UAVs to the access point we implemented the following steps: First, we connected the laptop to the UAV in the default protocol. Second, we accessed the UAV setup using PuTTY configuration tool and modified the default setup temporary so that the UAV become a client in the network. Then, we executed the BusyBox commands as shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6 by implementing the following: 1) we shut down the DHCP. 2) We brought down the ath0 interface, and then brought it up in managed-mode. 3) We disconnected the UAV from the laptop connection and then connected it to the SSID. finally, we ran the DHCP client to get IP address for the UAV.
Figure 5 PuTTY configuration tool to connect to the UAV using Telnet protocol

Figure 6 Sending BusyBox commands for the UAV to connect to an external access point and obtain an IP

```
killall udhcpc
ifconfig ath0 down
iwconfig ath0 mode managed essid $DRONE_SSID
NetworkName any channel auto commit
ifconfig ath0 up
udhcpc -b -i ath0
```

By following these steps, the UAV can connect to the access point and get an IP address in the network. As a result, the UAV services are accessed through the provided IP address.

After that we connected two AR drones to the access point to present the UAVs layer. Each UAV has a unique IP address known for the UAV controller so that the UAV controller can access the UAV services.

3) WSN Controller and UAV Controller Implementation

The controller layers were built in the NodeJS language for the WSN controller and the UAV controller. The controllers were installed in two different computers to simulate two servers. Devices and controllers were implemented in a local network for the purpose of simplicity. They were given local IP addresses.

WSN Controller Implementation

The WSN controller was implemented in the first computer, and it provides an HTTP API for providing an abstraction view of the nodes using following RESTful request:

```
GET /humidity HTTP/1.1
Host: WSN_controller_address
Accept: Application/json
```

This API requests a humidity reading from the WSN controller without directly interacting with the sensing node. Then, the controller communicates with the sensing node according to its APIs and communication protocol to request the humidity as follows:

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: application/json
Server: humidity_sensor
{Humidity: 33}
```

and returns the result to the requester. More specifications and requirements could be defined in a JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) object along with the HTTP request as follows:

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: application/json
Server: WSN_controller_address
{Humidity: 33}
```

UAV Controller Implementation

Next, the UAV controller was installed in the second computer and provides an abstract view of the UAVs’ services. For example, a GET operation with the HTTP request:

```
GET service/spray HTTP/1.1
Host: UAV_controller_address
Accept: Application/json
```

This HTTP RESTful request is the API for a spraying service from the UAV controller, then it interacts with the UAV to request the service and then the UAV returns the result to the UAV controller who consequently returns it to the requester. In our implementation, the UAV takes off, hovers around the area, and then lands. The UAV controller and the UAVs communicate in well-defined shell commands of the UAV, however, the requester and the UAV controller communicate in HTTP RESTful APIs.

Similarly to the WSN controller, in order to define additional parameters, a JSON object could be provided along with the request. The orchestration layer was implemented in a mobile device to request the services from the controller layer. The orchestration layer requests an HTTP request for a humidity reading from the WSN controller, then receives a current value of the humidity level. Accordingly, it sends a spraying service request as an HTTP request to the UAV controller. Although the HTTP APIs implemented in this prototype are GET requests, PUT requests could be used for security purposes to add authentication property in the request parameter. Therefore, only authorized users are allowed to request the service.
The response time of the HTTP requests from the orchestration to the WSN controller and the UAV controller were measured ten times as shown in Figure 7. It is observed that the response time for the humidity sensor is higher than the response time for the UAV. That is due to the differences in the processing capabilities of the devices, where UAVs have more powerful resources and faster processing than the sensor node.

Figure 7 Response time for Humidity sensor and UAV through the WSN controller and the UAV controller respectively.

VI. CONCLUSION

The proposed architecture uses the recent paradigms of SDN and NFV to adapt a novel architecture of softwarization for UAVs and WSNs. This architecture eliminates the restrictions of tightly coupled architectures and utilizes the benefits of the recent research topic of the softwarization of network resources. This platform allows the flexibility of developing applications on top of the platform from the available physical resource devices and services without reinventing the wheel due to the opportunities provided by these concepts. By decoupling the resources of UAVs and WSNs, the device is responsible for proving its services when invoked by the resource API regardless of the other devices' services. Therefore, the physical resource layer is associated with collecting data and performing actions on the real world without being involved in decision-making or invoking other services. The system was implemented to provide a proof of concept using a sensing device and UAV along with their controllers to abstract the physical layer services. Then, the orchestration layer interacts with the controllers to request the required service. For future work, the system requires security considerations for the devices, the connection channel and higher layer. Additionally, the Orchestration Layer algorithms can be studied and compared to come up with optimal algorithms to organize and manage the available resources. Besides, the evaluation method is currently simple implemented for few devices. This can be enhanced by simulating the architecture by testing the scalability and performance for more devices.
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