The 29th IEEE International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Systems (ICPADS 2023)

#### Efficient Microservice Deployment with Dependencies in Multi-Access Edge Computing

1st Shuaibing Lu2nd Ran Yan3rd Jie WuFaculty of Information TechnologyFaculty of Information TechnologyCenter for Networked ComputingBeijing University of TechnologyBeijing University of TechnologyTemple UniversityBeijing, ChinaBeijing, ChinaPhiladelphia, USA



# Edge computing is emerging

- The recent advances in edge computing technologies have enabled a wide range of data-intensive and time-critical applications
- Edge systems  $\rightarrow$  multiple services, a number of users...







Smart City

Automated Driving

AR/VR

# Microservice architecture

- The limitations of traditional monolithic applications in terms of scalability and flexibility.
- A lightweight and highly flexible architectural pattern.





 How to deploy the microservices with dependencies especially under resource constrained edge computing systems still remains a problem?

## An example scenario



Server	Computing capability	Storage capability		
v1	5	3		
v2	1	6		
v3	2	2		
v4	4	1		
v5	3	2		

link	$l_{(v_1,v_2)}$	$l_{(v_2,v_3)}$	$l_{(v_3,v_4)}$	$l_{(v_4,v_5)}$	$l_{(v_5,v_1)}$	$l_{(v_2,v_4)}$
bandwidth	3	5	4	1	2	3



1. How can **complex dependencies** among microservices be effectively dealt with to improve the overall efficiency?

2. How to balance the trade-off between processing and transmission time for optimal deployment without overwhelming the resource constraints?



#### **Model and Formulation**

## Model

• system model:  $\mathbf{S} = \{S_h\}, S_h = \{M_h, E_h\}, M_h = \{m_i^h\}, E_h = \{e_{m_i \to m_j}^h\},$ 

$$V = \{v_k\}, L = \{l_{(v_k, v_q)}\}$$

• computation model:

$$d_{c}(m_{i}^{h}) = x(i,k) \cdot q_{m_{i}^{h}} / c_{(v_{k})}$$
Required processing Computing capability of edge server

### **Model and Formulation**

## Model

• communication model:

$$d_{l}\left(e_{m_{i} \rightarrow m_{j}}^{h}\right) = y(i,k) \cdot r_{m_{i} \rightarrow m_{j}}^{h} / b_{(v_{k},v_{q})}$$
  
Data flow size  
between microservices Communication capability  
of edge server

# Model and Formulation

## Model

С

р

• makespan model:

 $\mathbf{T} = max_{\forall S_h \in \mathbf{S}} \{T_h\}$ 

$$f(m_{j}^{h}) = max_{\forall i,j} \left\{ f(m_{i}^{h}) + d_{c}(m_{j}^{h}) + d_{l} \left( e_{m_{i} \rightarrow m_{j}}^{h} \right) \right\}$$
  
ompletion time of the  
redecessor  $m_{i}^{h}$  computation time of  $m_{j}^{h}$  transmission time for  
data transferred

$$T_h = max_{\forall j, S_h \in \mathbf{S}} \{ f(m_j^h) \}$$
  $\longrightarrow$  the makespan of service  $S_h$ 

makespan of services in set S



**Optimal Microservice Deployment with Dependencies (OMDD problem)**: OMDD problem is how to find a strategy for microservice in S to minimize P1 under the constraints (2)-(5).



#### enhanced graph construction

prioritization among multiple services



#### Scenario 1: OMDD with no storage constraint

How to balance the computing and communication resources?

- $\Box$  Step 1: find the server  $v_0$  with the highest computing capability
  - Sort V in descending order according to the server's computing capability  $c_{(v_k)}$ .
- Step 2: deploy the microservices
  - deploy all microservices on the server  $v_0$

Theorem : OMDD-US is an optimal solution for solving P1 under the constraints (7), (9)-(11).

### Scenario 2: OMDD with no communication constraint

how to balance the computing and storage resources?

Step 1

٠

- Sort V in descending order according to the server's computing capability  $c_{(v_k)}$ .
- Step 2
  - Sort microservices in descending order of required computing capability  $q_{m_i}$ .
- Step 3
  - Deploy the microservices sorted in descending order to servers with stronger computing capabilities.

Theorem : OMDD-UB is an optimal solution on solving P1 under the constraints (7)-(8), (10).

#### Scenario 3: OMDD with constraints (7)-(10)

- Definition 1 (main path): The main path  $p_i$  refers to the path with the maximum weight arg max{ $w_{(p_i)}$ } of  $\hat{\mathbb{I}}$ .
- Definition 2 (preferred server): Let  $v^{\circ}$  indicate the preferred server of V, where  $v^{\circ} = max_{\xi(v_k)}\{v_k|_{v_k \in V}\}$ . Here, $\xi(v_k)$  is the priority value of  $v_k$  with the sum of the computing capacity and the maximum bandwidth that is connected in g.
- Definition 3 (maximum cut): Let  $C_{p_i}$  indicate the maximum cut of path  $p_i$  in  $\hat{\mathbb{I}}$ which constructs by  $|\phi_{v^\circ}|$  microservices with the largest weights combination.

Theorem : The main path will become the critical path when server computation capacities and inter-server bandwidths are equal, where  $\{c_{(v_i)} = b_{(v_k,v_q)}|_{\forall v_i \in V, \forall l_{(v_k,v_q)} \in L}\}$ .

#### Scenario 3: OMDD with constraints (7)-(10)

- Step 1: a preliminary deployment method based on main path embedding
  - find the main path with the maximum weight.
  - Prioritize placement on preferred server  $v^{\circ}$ .
  - Compare the number of microservices on the main path with the capacity of the servers; if the server capacity is less than the number of microservices on the main path, perform partitioning.
- Step 2: improved simulated annealing algorithm
  - Through multiple iterations, the simulated annealing algorithm gradually converges to optimal solutions, thereby improving the quality and effectiveness of the deployment strategy.



### **Experiment and Results**

#### Basic Setting

- Hardware: Windows 10 with an Intel(R) Xeon(R) Silver 4210R CPU @
   2.40GHz, NVIDIA RTX5000 GPU, and 32GB memory.
- Dataset: China Telecom Shanghai Company(3,233 base station locations and their corresponding user connections in June 2014.)
- Range: Randomly selected subsets of locations containing 6, 20, and 50 base stations. Each service was abstracted as a DAG and generated with the number of 18, 50, and 120 microservices, respectively.

### **Experiment and Results**

#### Two Comparison algorithms

- Simulated Annealing-only (SA): Traditional annealing algorithm, generating random initial values.
- Q-Learning (QL): States are composed of the allocation status of a series of services. Each service can be assigned to different servers (edge nodes or cloud) or remain unassigned, and the action space contains  $\sum_{h=1}^{|S|} |M_H| * |V|$  actions. We select the deployment on the corresponding server according to the Q table.

#### **Experiment and Results**

3.5

2.5 1.95

2

15

0.5

16

14

12

OMDIUS

OMDDUB

OMDD-WPE

OMDDUS

13.3

OMDDUB

13.95

OMDD-WRE

12.93







2.69

2.48

SP

SP

OMDUSA

á

10.93

Ŷ

9.12

OMDUSA



2.37

1.99

OMDUSA

OMDDISA

á

á

14.48

2.02

sh

11.26

SA







#### OMDD-WRE Fig. 4. 50 servers and 120 microservices.

2.5

1.5

0.5

18

16

14

12

10

OMDDUS

OMDD-UB

Fig. 3. 20 servers and 50 microservices.

OMDDUS

13.23

OMDD-UB

OMDD-WPE

14.63

15.09

#### Conclusion

- We investigate the microservice deployment problem with dependencies by formulating to minimize the makespan of multiple services under the resources constraints in multiaccess edge computing, and we theoretically analyze and demonstrate the complexity of this problem through the proof of NP-hardness.
- We propose three microservice deployment strategies by offering flexibility and adaptability for various application scenarios. We initially consider two straightforward scenarios: one with unlimited storage resources under the bandwidth constraint, and the other with unlimited bandwidth resources under the storage constraint. For each of these two scenarios, we introduce a novel enhanced graph construction method and design two optimal solutions.

#### Conclusion

- We then consider a more complicated scenario with resource limitations on storage, computing, and communication. We produce a feasible solution by introducing an effective embedding method based on the novel definitions of the main path and preferred server, which are extracted based on the topology features of the services and edge environment, respectively. Based on that, we propose an updating method by introducing an improved simulated annealing strategy, and we analyze the complexity.
- We conducted extensive experiments to compare our strategies with several baselines based on the China Telecom Shanghai Company dataset, which was constructed by the geographic information of 3,233 base stations. The results are shown from different perspectives to provide conclusions.



# **THANK YOU**